

**HPNS Technical Team Meeting Agenda  
December 5, 2017, 1000-1100 PT**

1. Welcome and check-in

**Navy BRAC** – Steve Banister, Pat Brooks, Danielle Janda, Derek Robinson, ~~Thomas Macchiarella~~

**Navy BRAC Consultants** – ~~Craig Bias~~, Scott Hay, Kim Henderson, Kathy Higley, ~~Kira Sykes~~

**RASO** – Zach Edwards, Matt Slack

**EPA and consultants** – Karla Brasaemle, ~~John Chesnutt~~, Jana Dawson, Brianna Fairbanks, ~~Donna Getty~~, ~~David Kappelman~~, Lily Lee, ~~Lyndsey Nguyen~~, **Jackie Lane**

**DTSC** – Nina Bacey, ~~Janet Naito~~

**CDPH** – ~~Tracy Jue~~, Sheetal Singh

**City (includes OCII/SFDPH and consultants)** – Amy Brownell, Bob Burns, Christina Rain

**Water Board** – ~~Tina Low~~, ~~Tina Ures~~, **David Tanouye**

2. Status Update

- Parcels B and G soil report
  - i. Draft to Technical Team - 9/29
  - ii. Parcel G comments received – as of 10/24 – Navy reviewed the responses and redlined version of the report last week, we've addressed the Navy's comments, and sent them back to the Navy for review before submittal to the Technical Team, potentially this week.
  - iii. Parcel B comments pending from EPA –Comments have been received from SFDPH, DTSC, and CDPH. EPA will provide comments in the next few days.
- Parcels D-2, UC-1, UC-2, and UC-3 soil report
  - i. Draft to Technical Team – 10/31
  - ii. Comments pending from EPA, DTSC, and CDPH - Comments have been received from SFDPH, DTSC comments are expected today, and CDPH comments are expected by 12/15. Nina noted that these comments will not include review of the forms.
  - iii. EPA has noticed an increase in fill units where bias samples may have been collected in locations to avoid the highest gamma scan readings in comparison to Parcels B and G. Lily indicated that in the 11/7 managers meeting, EPA offered a path forward proposal on Parcel G and pointed out that the proposal may only apply to Parcel G based on this newly observed pattern. EPA has not discussed this further internally.
- Parcel C soil report
  - i. Draft to Technical Team – 11/17
  - ii. Comments pending from SFDPH, EPA, DTSC, and CDPH - DTSC comments are expected today and CDPH comments are expected by 12/15. Nina noted that these comments will not include review of the forms.

- Parcel E soil report
    - i. Pre-draft to Navy – 12/15
    - ii. Draft to Technical Team – by 12/31
  - Building data evaluation report – Craig drafted a short report to summarize the methodologies for data evaluation and preliminary findings, particularly from the repeated numbers tests and the report is currently under peer review. Amy clarified that the Team is working on the path forward independent of the evaluation findings.
    - i. Pre-draft to Navy – December 2017
    - ii. Draft to Technical Team – January 2018
  - Update on work plan approach – Danielle provided an update. The Navy is working on an approach to address the team's concerns on the CSM. The project objectives are to meet RAOs, provide administrative and CERCLA closure, and increase community confidence in site safety. A draft path forward has been developed with the details focused on Parcel G that could then be applied site-wide. The next steps are to present the approach to Oak Ridge Associated Universities (ORAU), independent technical radiological experts, on Thursday to confirm the approach is in-line with how other radiological sites are addressed. Lily noted that if the focus is on Parcel G, there are different characteristics in the other parcels. Danielle indicated that the approach does not rely on TtEC data to make decisions, the approach will rely on new data with known data quality. The schedule depends on the input received from ORAU. As soon as there is team agreement on the approach, the work plan can be turned around in approximately five weeks.
3. Schedule and topics for future calls/meetings
- Cancel next call scheduled for Tuesday 12/19
  - Discuss 2018 call schedule - 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Tuesdays from 10-11 PT starting January 2, 2018